

This site uses cookies. By continuing to browse the site you are agreeing to our use of cookies. [Find out more here](#) ✕



theguardian

Google™ Custom Search

[News](#) | [US](#) | [World](#) | [Sports](#) | [Comment](#) | [Culture](#) | [Business](#) | [Money](#) | [Environment](#) | [Science](#) | [Travel](#) | [Tech](#) | [Media](#) | [Life & style](#) | [Data](#)

[News](#) > [World news](#) > [US national security](#)

Series: [Glenn Greenwald on security and liberty](#)

[Previous](#) | [Next](#) | [Index](#)

NSA collecting phone records of millions of Verizon customers daily

Exclusive: Top secret court order requiring Verizon to hand over all call data shows scale of domestic surveillance under Obama

- [Read the Verizon court order in full here](#)
- [Obama administration justifies surveillance](#)

Share 407

Tweet 109

5.2k

Pin it 1

Share 3

Email

Follow The NSA Files by email **BETA**

Glenn Greenwald
The Guardian, Wednesday 5 June 2013

Jump to comments (2511)



Article history

On the Guardian today





Under the terms of the order, the numbers of both parties on a call are handed over, as is location data and the time and duration of all calls. Photograph: Matt Rourke/AP

The National Security Agency is currently collecting the telephone records of millions of US customers of [Verizon](#), one of America's largest [telecoms](#) providers, under a top secret court order issued in April.

The order, a copy of which has been obtained by the Guardian, [requires Verizon on an "ongoing, daily basis" to give the NSA information on all telephone calls in its systems](#), both within the US and between the US and other countries.

The document shows for the first time that under the Obama administration the communication records of millions of US citizens are being collected indiscriminately and in bulk – regardless of whether they are suspected of any wrongdoing.

The secret Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court ([Fisa](#)) granted the order to the FBI on April 25, giving the government unlimited authority to obtain the data for a specified three-month period ending on July 19.

The NSA Files: Decoded



What the surveillance revelations mean for you

World news

[US national security](#) · [US politics](#) · [Privacy](#) · [United States](#) · [NSA](#) · [The NSA files](#)

Business

[Telecommunications industry](#) · [Verizon Communications](#)

Technology

[Telecoms](#) · [Data protection](#)

Series

[Glenn Greenwald on security and liberty](#)

More from Glenn Greenwald on security and liberty on

World news

[US national security](#) · [US politics](#) · [Privacy](#) · [United States](#) · [NSA](#) · [The NSA files](#)

Business



Comment is free

I wrote the Anarchist Cookbook in 1969. Now I see its premise as flawed



UK news

Apollo theatre collapse injures more than 80 people in London's West End



World news

Obama commutes sentences of eight crack cocaine offenders



Under the terms of the blanket order, the numbers of both parties on a call are handed over, as is location data, call duration, unique identifiers, and the time and duration of all calls. The contents of the conversation itself are not covered.

The disclosure is likely to reignite longstanding debates in the US over the proper extent of the government's domestic spying powers.

Under the Bush administration, officials in security agencies had disclosed to reporters the large-scale collection of call records data by the [NSA](#), but this is the first time significant and top-secret documents have revealed the continuation of the practice on a massive scale under President Obama.

The unlimited nature of the records being handed over to the [NSA](#) is extremely unusual. [Fisa](#) court orders typically direct the production of records pertaining to a specific named target who is suspected of being an agent of a terrorist group or foreign state, or a finite set of individually named targets.

The Guardian approached the National Security Agency, the White House and the Department of Justice for comment in advance of publication on Wednesday. All declined. The agencies were also offered the opportunity to raise specific security concerns regarding the publication of the court order.

The court order expressly bars [Verizon](#) from disclosing to the public either the existence of the FBI's request for its customers' records, or the court order itself.

"We decline comment," said Ed McFadden, a Washington-based Verizon spokesman.

The order, signed by Judge Roger Vinson, compels Verizon to produce to the [NSA](#) electronic copies of "all call detail records or 'telephony [metadata](#)' created by Verizon for communications between the [United States](#) and abroad" or "wholly within the United States, including local telephone calls".

Telecommunications industry · Verizon Communications

Technology

Telecoms · Data protection

[More news](#)

More on this story



[US intelligence outlines checks it says validate surveillance](#)
Intelligence chiefs confirm programmes, but say they protect against terrorism and minimise infringements on privacy

[Edward Snowden is a 'traitor' and possible spy for China – Dick Cheney](#)

[Do not extradite Edward Snowden, protesters urge Hong Kong](#)


[Edward Snowden supporters march in Hong Kong – in pictures](#)

[Snowden gains support from protesters - video](#)



Film

[The 10 best films of 2013 – readers' choice](#)



Football

[Liverpool's Brendan Rodgers declined Tottenham job in 2012](#)



Art and design

[Andy Warhol portrait of Farrah Fawcett belongs to Ryan O'Neal, court rules](#)

The order directs Verizon to "continue production on an ongoing daily basis thereafter for the duration of this order". It specifies that the records to be produced include "session identifying information", such as "originating and terminating number", the duration of each call, telephone calling card numbers, trunk identifiers, International Mobile Subscriber Identity (IMSI) number, and "comprehensive communication routing information".

The information is classed as "[metadata](#)", or transactional information, rather than communications, and so does not require individual warrants to access. The document also specifies that such "metadata" is not limited to the aforementioned items. A 2005 court ruling judged that cell site location data – the nearest cell tower a phone was connected to – was also transactional data, and so could potentially fall under the scope of the order.

While the order itself does not include either the contents of messages or the personal information of the subscriber of any particular cell number, its collection would allow the NSA to build easily a comprehensive picture of who any individual contacted, how and when, and possibly from where, retrospectively.

It is not known whether Verizon is the only cell-phone provider to be targeted with such an order, although previous reporting has suggested the NSA has collected cell records from all major mobile networks. It is also unclear from the leaked document whether the three-month order was a one-off, or the latest in a series of similar orders.

The court order appears to explain the numerous cryptic public warnings by two US senators, Ron Wyden and Mark Udall, about the scope of the Obama administration's surveillance activities.

For roughly two years, the two Democrats have been stridently advising the public that the US government is relying on "secret legal interpretations" to claim surveillance powers so broad that the American public would be "stunned" to learn of the kind of domestic spying being conducted.

[Facebook, Microsoft reveal surveillance request figures](#)

[John Naughton: A boycott of Facebook, Microsoft, Google et al almost impossible to achieve](#)

[Guardian poll finds majority in US want greater oversight](#)

[Prism surveillance 'did not collect European data in bulk'](#)

[Edward Snowden story on way to the big screen](#)

[NSA to release details of attacks it claims were foiled by surveillance](#)

[Welcome to Utah, the NSA's desert home for eavesdropping on America](#)

[A Guardian guide to metadata](#)

[The story of the scoop](#)

[What we know about Snowden](#)

[Snowden's girlfriend: At the moment I feel alone](#)


[Google asks DoJ for permission to publish Fisa requests](#)

Today's best video



Funny Girls

We go behind the scenes as the Funny Girls drag revue in Blackpool prepares for its Christmas Spectacular



This is football

Musa Okwonga's tribute to the beautiful game, commissioned to mark 150 years of the FA



How to wear party jeans

Jess Cartner-Morley selects a few pairs that would look good on anyone



Mayor Rob Ford dances at Toronto council meeting

Toronto mayor Rob Ford dances to Bob Marley's One Love

On World news

Most viewed

Latest

Because those activities are classified, the senators, both members of the Senate intelligence committee, have been prevented from specifying which domestic surveillance programs they find so alarming. But the information they have been able to disclose in their public warnings perfectly tracks both the specific law cited by the April 25 court order as well as the vast scope of record-gathering it authorized.

Julian Sanchez, a surveillance expert with the Cato Institute, explained: "We've certainly seen the government increasingly strain the bounds of 'relevance' to collect large numbers of records at once — everyone at one or two degrees of separation from a target — but vacuuming all metadata up indiscriminately would be an extraordinary repudiation of any pretence of constraint or particularized suspicion." The April order requested by the FBI and NSA does precisely that.

The law on which the order explicitly relies is the so-called "business records" provision of the Patriot Act, 50 USC section 1861. That is the provision which Wyden and Udall have repeatedly cited when warning the public of what they believe is the Obama administration's extreme interpretation of the law to engage in excessive domestic surveillance.

In a letter to attorney general Eric Holder last year, they argued that "there is now a significant gap between what most Americans *think* the law allows and what the government secretly *claims* the law allows."

"We believe," they wrote, "that most Americans would be stunned to learn the details of how these secret court opinions have interpreted" the "business records" provision of the Patriot Act.

Privacy advocates have long warned that allowing the government to collect and store unlimited "metadata" is a highly invasive form of surveillance of citizens' communications activities. Those records enable the government to know the identity of every person with whom an individual communicates electronically, how long they spoke, and their location at the time of the communication.

Such metadata is what the US government has long attempted to

Video



Law abiding citizens have 'nothing to fear', says Hague

The foreign secretary, William Hague, says reports that GCHQ are gathering intelligence from phones and online sites should not concern people who have nothing to hide

Obama defends internet surveillance programmes

Comment & analysis



On Prism, partisanship and propaganda

Glenn Greenwald: Addressing many of the issues arising from last week's NSA stories

Glenn Greenwald: Edward Snowden's worst fear has not been realised – thankfully

Investigate Booz Allen

Last 24 hours



1. **Obama commutes sentences of eight crack cocaine offenders**

2. **Robin Thicke named sexist of the year**

3. **New York City puts e-cigarettes under smoking ban**

4. **Vladimir Putin pardons jailed oil tycoon Mikhail Khodorkovsky**

5. **Philippines mayor shot dead in airport attack**

[More most viewed](#)

obtain in order to discover an individual's network of associations and communication patterns. The request for the bulk collection of all Verizon domestic telephone records indicates that the agency is continuing some version of the data-mining program begun by the Bush administration in the immediate aftermath of the 9/11 attack.

The NSA, as part of a program secretly authorized by President Bush on 4 October 2001, implemented a bulk collection program of domestic telephone, internet and email records. A furore erupted in 2006 when USA Today reported that the NSA had "been secretly collecting the phone call records of tens of millions of Americans, using data provided by AT&T, Verizon and BellSouth" and was "using the data to analyze calling patterns in an effort to detect terrorist activity." Until now, there has been no indication that the Obama administration implemented a similar program.

These recent events reflect how profoundly the NSA's mission has transformed from an agency exclusively devoted to foreign intelligence gathering, into one that focuses increasingly on domestic communications. A 30-year employee of the NSA, William Binney, resigned from the agency shortly after 9/11 in protest at the agency's focus on domestic activities.

In the mid-1970s, Congress, for the first time, investigated the surveillance activities of the US government. Back then, the mandate of the NSA was that it would never direct its surveillance apparatus domestically.

At the conclusion of that investigation, Frank Church, the Democratic senator from Idaho who chaired the investigative committee, warned: "The NSA's capability at any time could be turned around on the American people, and no American would have any privacy left, such is the capability to monitor everything: telephone conversations, telegrams, it doesn't matter."

Additional reporting by Ewen MacAskill and Spencer Ackerman

Hamilton, not Edward Snowden

Julian Borger: How Edward Snowden weakened the case for his defence

Ai Weiwei: US is behaving like China


Glenn Greenwald: on whistleblowers and government threats of investigation



Get the Guardian's daily US email

Our editors' picks for the day's top news and commentary delivered to your inbox each morning.

Sign up for the daily email



More from the Guardian

What's this?



My marriage was over in the blink of an eye
14 Dec 2013



My daughter died from taking drugs
14 Dec 2013



The NSA files and the network effect
15 Dec 2013



Sir Michael Wilshaw: Ofsted chief on pushy parents, staff clothing, and canes
14 Dec 2013

More from around the web

What's this?



Two Illinois Counties Named as "Judicial Hellholes"
(Free Enterprise)



Restrictions On Homeschooling Proposed In Ohio
(Downtrend)



The electronic tattoo: a new form of medicine
(EDF Pulse)



Inside Matt Damon's Mega-Estate -- As He Puts It Up For Sale
(Lonny Magazine)

Ads by Google

Marriage, Divorce Records

Billions of Names. Birth, Marriage, Divorce, Death. 7-day Free Trial!

worldvitalrecords.com

Association Deals At Dell


Assoc Members Shop Now for Deals on Products w/ Intel® Core™. Buy Now!

www.dell.com/MPP

Look up Mug Shots Online

1) Enter Any Name & Search It! 2) Find Mug Shots Instantly.

mugshotslookup.com

[All comments](#)[Staff replies](#)[Guardian picks](#)Sorted [oldest first](#) **strangemartin**

06 June 2013 12:13am

25

Will there be a version of this story written by a neutral journalist, rather than someone who writes virtually daily polemics against the US government and the current administration?

Can't help feeling I'm only getting one side of the story here.

**GlennGreenwald**  strangemartin

06 June 2013 12:29am

444

@strangemartin -

Can't help feeling I'm only getting one side of the story here.

There's probably another court order that I've decided to hide from you that reads: "About that last order: just kidding. The government is only entitled to get the phone records of people about whom it has presented evidence of wrongdoing".

More from [Glenn Greenwald on security and liberty](#)

A critical, campaigning column on vital issues of civil rights, freedom of information and justice – and their enemies, from the award-winning journalist, former constitutional litigator and author of three New York Times bestsellers.

Follow [@ggreenwald](#) on Twitter or email him at glenn.greenwald@guardiannews.com

Latest:

31 Oct 2013: [On leaving the Guardian](#) | Glenn Greenwald

Next:

7 Jun 2013: [On whistleblowers and government threats of investigation](#) | Glenn Greenwald

Previous:

4 Jun 2013: [Reader-funded journalism](#) | Glenn Greenwald

[Glenn Greenwald on security and liberty index](#)

[License/buy our content](#) | [Privacy policy](#) | [Terms of service](#) | [US advertising](#) | [A- Z index](#) | [About us](#)

© 2013 Guardian News and Media Limited or its affiliated companies. All rights reserved.